

NOTE

This online version of the thesis may have different page formatting and pagination from the paper copy held in the University of Wollongong Library.

UNIVERSITY OF WOLLONGONG

COPYRIGHT WARNING

You may print or download ONE copy of this document for the purpose of your own research or study. The University does not authorise you to copy, communicate or otherwise make available electronically to any other person any copyright material contained on this site. You are reminded of the following:

Copyright owners are entitled to take legal action against persons who infringe their copyright. A reproduction of material that is protected by copyright may be a copyright infringement. A court may impose penalties and award damages in relation to offences and infringements relating to copyright material. Higher penalties may apply, and higher damages may be awarded, for offences and infringements involving the conversion of material into digital or electronic form.

Please see print copy for all tables and figures included in this thesis.

Solar activity and recent climate change:
Evaluating the impact of geomagnetic activity
on atmospheric circulation

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree

Doctor of Philosophy

From

The University of Wollongong

By

Daniel Palamara, BSc. (Hons)

School of Geosciences
2003

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS	i
LIST OF TABLES.....	iii
LIST OF FIGURES	iv
ABSTRACT.....	x
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	xii
1 INTRODUCTION	
1.1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.2 AIM.....	2
1.3 OUTLINE	2
2 THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK	
2.1 INTRODUCTION	4
2.2 A HISTORY OF SOLAR-CLIMATE RELATIONSHIPS	8
2.2.1 <i>Beginnings</i>	8
2.2.2 <i>The Solar Cycle</i>	9
2.2.3 <i>Geomagnetic Activity</i>	19
2.2.4 <i>Geomagnetic Activity and the Sunspot Cycle</i>	27
2.2.5 <i>Indices of Geomagnetic Activity</i>	33
2.2.6 <i>Superposed Epoch Analysis</i>	34
2.2.7 <i>Early approaches</i>	36
2.2.8 <i>Cosmic Rays and Clouds</i>	39
2.2.9 <i>The Maunder Minimum</i>	47
2.2.10 <i>The Stratosphere and Solar Activity</i>	48
2.2.11 <i>Solar-cycle Length and Climate</i>	51
2.2.12 <i>Prediction</i>	67
2.2.13 <i>Criticism</i>	68
2.3 GEOMAGNETIC ACTIVITY AND THE LOWER ATMOSPHERE	70
2.3.1 <i>Geomagnetic activity and weather</i>	73
2.3.2 <i>Geomagnetic activity and climate</i>	79
2.4 OVERVIEW AND DISCUSSION	88
3 GEOMAGNETIC ACTIVITY AND ATMOSPHERIC CIRCULATION	
3.1 INTRODUCTION	92
3.2 THE ANNULAR MODES	93
3.2.1 <i>Antarctic Oscillation</i>	93
3.2.2 <i>Arctic Oscillation</i>	95
3.2.3 <i>Data</i>	99
3.3 METHODS	99
3.3.1 <i>Timescales</i>	101
3.3.2 <i>Temporal</i>	103
3.3.3 <i>Seasonal</i>	104
3.3.4 <i>Spatial</i>	106
3.3.5 <i>Lagged</i>	106
3.3.6 <i>Statistical Significance</i>	107
3.4 RESULTS	110
3.4.1 <i>The relationship between geomagnetic activity and the Antarctic Oscillation</i>	110
3.4.2 <i>The relationship between geomagnetic activity and the Arctic Oscillation</i>	121
3.4.3 <i>Spatial Patterns</i>	136
3.5 'SUNSPOT' FORCING OF ATMOSPHERIC CIRCULATION	139
3.6 THE ROLE OF THE QBO.....	141
3.7 DISCUSSION	149
3.7.1 <i>Implications</i>	151

3.7.2 Pittock's guidelines.....	153
3.8 CONCLUSIONS.....	156
4 GEOMAGNETIC ACTIVITY AND ATMOSPHERIC CIRCULATION - DAILY TIMESCALES	
4.1 INTRODUCTION	157
4.2 DATA AND METHODS	158
4.3 STATISTICAL SIGNIFICANCE	159
4.4 KEY DATES - GEOMAGNETIC ACTIVITY	163
4.5 KEY DATES - SOLAR FLARES	165
4.6 RESULTS	165
4.6.1 Geomagnetic Activity	165
4.6.2 Solar Flares.....	171
4.7 COMPARISON TO THE LITERATURE.....	180
4.7.1 Can anything be deduced about daily links?.....	182
4.7.2 How many key dates are required?	183
4.7.3 Better techniques?	184
4.7.4 Why has it worked well for others?	190
4.8 CONCLUSIONS.....	191
5 MECHANISMS	
5.1 INTRODUCTION	194
5.2 MECHANISMS - AN OVERVIEW	195
5.2.1 Constraints from chapter three	199
5.3 METHODS	208
5.4 RESULTS	210
5.4.1 Zonal Wind	210
5.4.2 Temperature	229
5.4.3 Temporal pattern.....	235
5.5 STRATOSPHERIC ORIGINS.....	237
5.5.1 Radiative.....	241
5.5.2 Ozone.....	246
5.5.3 Stratospheric Aerosols	255
5.5.4 Upper atmosphere	261
5.6 DISCUSSION	267
5.6.1 Prediction.....	270
5.6.2 Causality.....	276
5.7 CONCLUSION	278
6 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	
6.1 ORIGINAL CONTRIBUTIONS	279
6.2 COMPARISONS	281
6.3 LIMITATIONS	282
6.4 FUTURE RESEARCH/RECOMMENDATIONS	283
6.5 CONCLUSIONS.....	284
REFERENCES	287
APPENDIX A	306
A1. INTRADIURNAL SURFACE PRESSURE VARIATIONS AT ABERDEEN	306
A2. GEOMAGNETIC ACTIVITY AND LONG-TERM TEMPERATURE CHANGES - SEASONAL ASPECTS.....	307
APPENDIX B	308
APPENDIX C	322
APPENDIX D	324
APPENDIX E. A COMPARISON OF STRATOSPHERIC RELATIONSHIPS	329
APPENDIX F. PALAMARA AND BRYANT (SUBMITTED TO ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCE LETTERS, 2002)	331

List of Tables

TABLE 2.1. A summary of important solar-climate developments	5
TABLE 2.2. Correlations between sunspots and thunderstorm frequency (from <i>Brooks, 1934</i>).....	38
TABLE 2.3. Solar-cycle length between sunspot minima, cycle central years, and corresponding AA index values	60
TABLE 2.4. Solar-cycle length between sunspot maxima, cycle central years, and corresponding AA index values	61
TABLE 2.5. A summary of results from a number of solar-weather studies.....	74
TABLE 3.1. Correlation coefficients between the NAM and geomagnetic activity (1899-1999).....	125
TABLE 3.2. The correlation coefficients between the interannual variations of the SAM and NAM indices and geomagnetic activity, separated according to the phase of the QBO, from 1965 onwards	146
TABLE 3.3. Correlation coefficients between the January AA index and January surface pressure records for the NAO nodal points, separated according to the phase of the QBO, 1965 onwards	149
TABLE 4.1 Lag intervals and significance tests used in some published solar-weather superposed epoch analyses.....	161
TABLE 4.2. The number of key dates used in the superposed epoch analyses for different geomagnetic activity conditions for (a) the Antarctic Oscillation index and (b) the Arctic Oscillation index	164
TABLE 4.3. The number of key dates used in the superposed epoch analyses for increased solar flare activity for the Antarctic and Arctic Oscillation indices	165
TABLE 5.1. Lagged correlations for the monthly AA index.....	206
TABLE 5.2. Average geomagnetic AA index values for the 10 years of lowest AA values and the 10 years of highest AA values, taken from 1965 to 1997	210
TABLE 5.3. Correlation coefficients between the AE index and Antarctic ozone depletion, from <i>Marcucci et al. (1999)</i>	247
TABLE 5.4. Findings in recent studies of solar activity impacts on ozone concentration	250
TABLE 5.5. Comparison of the correlation coefficients between the observed NAO index and both the simulated NAO index of <i>Rodwell et al. (1999)</i> and the geomagnetic AA index.....	273
TABLE 5.6 Rate of success for a crude prediction technique based on annual monthly AA index anomalies, and the serial correlation in the monthly AA index.....	275
TABLE A1. Correlation coefficients between long-term changes in monthly northern and southern hemisphere temperature records and the AA index.....	307
TABLE B1. Correlation coefficients between the monthly and three-monthly versions of the AA and Antarctic Oscillation indices, 1958-1999	309
TABLE B2. Correlation coefficients between the monthly and three-monthly versions of the AA and Arctic Oscillation indices, 1965-1999	309
TABLE B3. Correlation coefficients between the monthly and three-monthly versions of the AA and North Atlantic Oscillation indices, 1965-1999	320
TABLE B4. Correlation coefficients between the three-monthly versions of the AA index and the two nodes of the North Atlantic Oscillation index, 1965-1999	320
TABLE B5. Correlation coefficients between the monthly and three-monthly versions of the sunspot number and the Antarctic Oscillation index, 1958-1999.....	321
TABLE B6. Correlation coefficients between the monthly and three-monthly versions of the sunspot number and the Arctic Oscillation index, 1965-1999.....	321

List of Figures

FIGURE 2.1. The yearly sunspot number (1700-2000).....	10
FIGURE 2.2. The solar flare index and the sunspot number (modified from <i>Ataç and Özgüç, 1996</i>).....	12
FIGURE 2.3. A simple representation of the earth's internal dipolar magnetic field, undisturbed by the solar wind (from <i>Hopgood and Barton, 1987</i>).....	14
FIGURE 2.4. The earth's magnetosphere (from <i>Lyons, 2000</i>).....	14
FIGURE 2.5. A coronal mass ejection (heading toward the lower right of the picture) imaged by the LASCO coronagraph (Courtesy of SOHO/LASCO consortium. SOHO is a project of international cooperation between ESA and NASA).....	16
FIGURE 2.6. Coronal hole (outlined) on January 15 th , 2002, with lines showing the flow of the solar wind (Courtesy of SOHO/LASCO consortium. SOHO is a project of international cooperation between ESA and NASA).....	18
FIGURE 2.7. Solar phenomena and their link to the terrestrial atmosphere (from <i>Baker, 2000</i>).....	20
FIGURE 2.8. The sunspot number and the geomagnetic AA index (1868-1999).....	21
FIGURE 2.9. Structure of the earth's atmosphere (modified from <i>Storini, 2001</i>).....	23
FIGURE 2.10. Cosmic-ray flux and the sunspot number (from <i>NGDC solar terrestrial physics web page</i>).....	26
FIGURE 2.11. The annual geomagnetic AA index and the sunspot number (1868-1999).....	28
FIGURE 2.12. Histograms of the lag between geomagnetic extrema and the nearest sunspot extrema.....	30
FIGURE 2.13. Power spectra (fast-Fourier transform) for geomagnetic activity (thick line) and the sunspot number (thin line).....	30
FIGURE 2.14. The monthly AA index, averaged over 1868-1999.....	32
FIGURE 2.15. Number of geomagnetic 'storm' days (AA index ≥ 90) by month, 1868-1998.....	32
FIGURE 2.16. Superposed epoch analysis results showing the 27-day recurrence interval in geomagnetic activity (from <i>Chree, 1913</i>).....	35
FIGURE 2.17. The distribution and magnitude of some of <i>Brooks' (1934)</i> correlations between the sunspot cycle and thunderstorms (from <i>Schlegel et al., 2001</i>).....	38
FIGURE 2.18. Changes in atmospheric ionisation associated with solar activity (from <i>Ney, 1959</i>).....	40
FIGURE 2.19. A possible chain-of-events linking solar activity to atmospheric temperature, as suggested by <i>Ney (1959)</i>	40
FIGURE 2.20. The Tinsley mechanism (from <i>Tinsley and Deen, 1991</i>).....	42
FIGURE 2.21. The results of superposed epoch analysis of cloud cover variations (from <i>Veretenenko and Pudovkin, 1995</i>).....	46
FIGURE 2.22. Correlation coefficients between the 10.7 cm solar flux and zonally averaged 30 hPa geopotential heights, 1968-1996 (from <i>van Loon and Labitzke, 1999</i>).....	50
FIGURE 2.23. Solar cycle length (red line) and average temperature anomalies (from <i>Friis-Christensen and Lassen, 1991</i>).....	52
FIGURE 2.24. A scatterplot of solar-cycle length and mean temperature at Armagh Observatory, Ireland (from <i>Butler, 1994</i>).....	54
FIGURE 2.25. Long-term variations of global and hemispheric temperature anomalies and geomagnetic activity.....	62
FIGURE 2.26. A comparison of sunspot number extremes and global temperature anomalies.....	65
FIGURE 2.27. The response of the VAI index to solar magnetic sector crossings (from <i>Wilcox et al., 1973</i>).....	72
FIGURE 2.28. Decadal averages of (a) geomagnetic activity and global temperature, and (b) geomagnetic activity minima values and global temperature (from <i>Cliver et al., 1998</i>).....	82

FIGURE 2.29. The distribution of the 419 GHCN v2 mean monthly temperature records, spanning 1900-1990, that have been compared to long-term changes in geomagnetic activity	84
FIGURE 2.30. The frequency distribution for the months of maximum absolute correlation between long-term changes in monthly mean temperature data for stations in Japan and the geomagnetic AA index.....	85
FIGURE 2.31. Correlation coefficients between long-term changes in monthly mean temperature and geomagnetic activity, 1905-1985, for Japan.....	87
FIGURE 3.1. The signature of the Antarctic Oscillation index/Southern Annular Mode in zonal-mean zonal wind.....	94
FIGURE 3.2. The signature of the Arctic Oscillation index/Northern Annular Mode in zonal-mean zonal wind	96
FIGURE 3.3. The winter Arctic Oscillation index (top) and the annual Antarctic Oscillation index (bottom).....	100
FIGURE 3.4. The derivation of decadal and interannual variations	102
FIGURE 3.5. Cumulative sums for a sample time series.....	105
FIGURE 3.6. Autocorrelation functions for (a) JFM AA index and (b) pseudo-random data generated using the spectral properties of the JFM AA Index	109
FIGURE 3.7. Correlation coefficients between the raw (red), decadal (blue), and interannual (green) monthly variations of the Antarctic Oscillation and AA indices, 1958-1999	111
FIGURE 3.8. Correlation coefficients between the raw (red), decadal (blue), and interannual (green) three-monthly average variations of the Antarctic Oscillation and AA indices, 1958-1999	111
FIGURE 3.9. Variations of the raw FMA AA and Antarctic Oscillation indices, 1958-1999	113
FIGURE 3.10. Variations of the interannual FMA AA and Antarctic Oscillation indices, 1960-1997	113
FIGURE 3.11. Cumulative sums of squares of the deviations between the interannual FMA AA and Antarctic Oscillation indices	114
FIGURE 3.12. Variation of the decadal FMA AA and Antarctic Oscillation indices, 1960-1997	116
FIGURE 3.13. The distribution and range of 1000 correlations involving pseudo-random reconstructions of the decadal FMA AA index and the decadal FMA Antarctic Oscillation index.....	117
FIGURE 3.14. Sliding correlations between the monthly decadal AA and Antarctic Oscillation indices, 1963-1992	118
FIGURE 3.15. Sliding correlations between the three-monthly averages of the decadal AA and Antarctic Oscillation indices, 1963-1992.....	118
FIGURE 3.16. Correlation coefficients between the interannual AA and Antarctic Oscillation indices at lag.....	120
FIGURE 3.17. Correlation coefficients between the decadal AA and Antarctic Oscillation indices at lag.....	122
FIGURE 3.18. Sliding correlations between the monthly decadal AA and Arctic Oscillation indices, 1904-1992	123
FIGURE 3.19. Sliding correlations between the three-monthly averages of the decadal AA and Arctic Oscillation indices, 1904-1992.....	123
FIGURE 3.20. Correlation coefficients between the raw (red), decadal (blue), and interannual (green) monthly variations of the Arctic Oscillation and AA indices, 1965-1999.....	124
FIGURE 3.21. Correlation coefficients between the raw (red), decadal (blue), and interannual (green) three-monthly average variations of the Arctic Oscillation and AA indices, 1965-1999.....	124
FIGURE 3.22. Variations of the raw JFM AA and Arctic Oscillation indices, 1965-1999	126
FIGURE 3.23. Variations of the interannual JFM AA and Arctic Oscillation indices, 1965-1997	126

Figure 3.24. Variations of the decadal JFM AA and Arctic Oscillation indices, 1965-1997	127
FIGURE 3.25. The distribution and range of 1000 correlations involving pseudo-random reconstructions of (a) the decadal January AA and NAM indices and (b) the decadal JFM AA and NAM indices.....	129
FIGURE 3.26. Variations of the interannual MJJ AA and Arctic Oscillation indices, 1942-1985	130
FIGURE 3.27. Variations of the decadal MJJ AA and Arctic Oscillation indices, 1942-1985.....	130
FIGURE 3.28. Correlation coefficients between the interannual AA and Arctic Oscillation indices at lag.....	132
FIGURE 3.29. Variations of the interannual March AA and Arctic Oscillation indices, 1965-1997	133
FIGURE 3.30. Correlation coefficients between the decadal AA and Arctic Oscillation indices at lag.....	134
FIGURE 3.31. Correlation coefficients between the raw (red), decadal (blue), and interannual (green) three-monthly variations of the Ponta Delgada surface pressure record and the AA index, 1965-1997.....	135
FIGURE 3.32. Correlation coefficients between the raw (red), decadal (blue), and interannual (green) three-monthly variations of the Stykkisholmur surface pressure record and the AA index, 1965-1999.....	135
FIGURE 3.33. Differences in monthly sea level pressure between periods of high and low geomagnetic activity	137
FIGURE 3.34. Correlation coefficients between the raw (red), decadal (blue), and interannual (green) monthly variations of the Antarctic Oscillation index and the sunspot number, 1958-1999	140
FIGURE 3.35. Correlation coefficients between the raw (red), decadal (blue), and interannual (green) three-monthly variations of the Antarctic Oscillation index and the sunspot number, 1958-1999	140
FIGURE 3.36. Correlation coefficients between the raw (red), decadal (blue), and interannual (green) monthly variations of the Arctic Oscillation index and the sunspot number, 1965-1999.....	142
FIGURE 3.37. Correlation coefficients between the raw (red), decadal (blue), and interannual (green) three-monthly variations of the Arctic Oscillation index and the sunspot number, 1965-1999	142
FIGURE 3.38. Sliding correlations between the monthly decadal Antarctic Oscillation index and the sunspot number, 1963-1992	143
FIGURE 3.39. Sliding correlations between the monthly decadal Arctic Oscillation index and the sunspot number, 1904-1992	143
FIGURE 3.40. Correlation coefficients between the interannual variations of the AA index and (a) the Antarctic Oscillation and (b) the Arctic Oscillation, separated according to the phase of the QBO	145
FIGURE 3.41. Variations of the interannual January AA and Arctic Oscillation indices, separated according to the phase of the QBO.....	147
FIGURE 3.42. A comparison of the linear trend in the Arctic Oscillation index, from 1968-1997, with correlation coefficients between the AA and Arctic Oscillation indices, from 1965-1997.....	154
FIGURE 4.1. A histogram showing the distribution of the daily Antarctic Oscillation index ($N = 8401$)	160
FIGURE 4.2. A histogram showing the distribution of the daily Arctic Oscillation index ($N = 18993$)	160
FIGURE 4.3. The results of the superposed epoch analysis, for various geomagnetic activity conditions, for the entire Antarctic and Arctic Oscillation indices.....	166

FIGURE 4.4. Superposed epoch analysis results for the Antarctic Oscillation index, with key dates set to AA 90+	169
FIGURE 4.5. Superposed epoch analysis results for the Antarctic Oscillation index, with key dates set to AA 60+	170
FIGURE 4.6. Superposed epoch analysis results for the Antarctic Oscillation index, with key dates set to AA 10-	172
FIGURE 4.7. Superposed epoch analysis results for the Arctic Oscillation index, with key dates set to AA 90+	173
FIGURE 4.8. Superposed epoch analysis results for the Arctic Oscillation index, with key dates set to AA 60+	174
FIGURE 4.9. Superposed epoch analysis results for the Arctic Oscillation index, with key dates set to AA 10-	175
FIGURE 4.10. Superposed epoch analysis results for the JFM Arctic Oscillation index, using data from 1965 onwards and for the QBO east phase, with key dates set to AA 60+	176
FIGURE 4.11. The results of the superposed epoch analysis, for periods of increased solar flare activity, for the entire (a) Antarctic and (b) Arctic Oscillation index datasets	177
FIGURE 4.12. Superposed epoch analysis results for the Antarctic Oscillation index, with key dates set to days when the solar flare index equals or exceeds 20	178
FIGURE 4.13. Superposed epoch analysis results for the Arctic Oscillation index, with key dates set to days when the solar flare index equals or exceeds 20	179
FIGURE 4.14. Real versus random superposed epoch analysis results	181
FIGURE 4.15. The statistical power of the t-tests performed in this chapter	185
FIGURE 4.16. The results of <i>Elsner and Kavlakov (2001)</i> , which use a different technique to examine links between geomagnetic activity and the atmosphere at daily timescales	188
FIGURE 4.17. The distribution of average geomagnetic AA index values for the ten days preceding changes in the daily Arctic Oscillation index	188
FIGURE 4.18. Superposed epoch analysis results for the Antarctic Oscillation index with key dates set to AA 60+ and the lag interval restricted to -5 to +10	192
FIGURE 5.1. A schema of possible solar-climate mechanisms linking geomagnetic activity to recent climate change	196
FIGURE 5.2. Correlation coefficients between the geomagnetic AA index and zonal-mean zonal wind for each month (1965-1997)	211
FIGURE 5.3. The signature of the January geomagnetic AA index in zonal-mean zonal wind, compared to the signature of the January Arctic Oscillation index in zonal-mean zonal wind (1965-1997)	213
FIGURE 5.4. Differences between composites of zonal-mean zonal wind for years of high and low geomagnetic activity, shown for each month separately	215
FIGURE 5.5. Correlation coefficients between the geomagnetic AA index and zonal-mean zonal wind for each month, using only data for months in which the QBO phase is east (1965-1997)	217
FIGURE 5.6. Correlation coefficients between the geomagnetic AA index and zonal-mean zonal wind for each month, using only data for months in which the QBO phase is west (1965-1997)	218
FIGURE 5.7. Differences between composites of zonal-mean zonal wind for years of high and low geomagnetic activity and east and west QBO phases	221
FIGURE 5.8. The signature of the March geomagnetic AA index in zonal-mean zonal wind, compared to the signature of the March Antarctic Oscillation index in zonal-mean zonal wind (1965-1997)	224
FIGURE 5.9. Zonal-mean ozone concentration (Dobson units), by month, from <i>Andrews (2000)</i>	226

FIGURE 5.10. Correlation coefficients between the geomagnetic AA index and zonal-mean zonal wind for each month, at one months lag (1965-1997).....	227
FIGURE 5.11. Correlation coefficients between the geomagnetic AA index and zonal-mean temperature for each month (1965-1997).....	230
FIGURE 5.12. Differences between composites of zonal-mean temperature for years of high and low geomagnetic activity, shown for each month separately.....	232
FIGURE 5.13. Correlation coefficients between the geomagnetic AA index and zonal-mean temperature for December and January, separated according to the phase of the QBO (1965-1997).....	234
FIGURE 5.14. Correlation coefficients between the geomagnetic AA index and zonal-mean zonal wind for each month (1950-1960).....	236
FIGURE 5.15. Correlation coefficients between the geomagnetic AA index and zonal-mean temperature for each month (1950-1960).....	238
FIGURE 5.16. An updated schema of possible solar-climate mechanisms linking geomagnetic activity to recent climate change.....	240
FIGURE 5.17. Monthly ERB solar irradiance data and the geomagnetic AA index.....	242
FIGURE 5.18. Superposed epoch analysis of the MgII index for high and low geomagnetic activity conditions.....	245
FIGURE 5.19. Cosmic-ray flux versus total ozone concentration, 0°-65°S, from <i>Lu and Sanche (2001)</i>	248
FIGURE 5.20. Correlation coefficients between the geomagnetic AA index and zonal-mean total-column ozone for each month (1979-1998).....	251
FIGURE 5.21. Correlation coefficients between the 10.7 cm solar flux and zonal-mean total-column ozone for each month (1979-1998).....	252
FIGURE 5.22. Zonal-mean stratospheric aerosol optical thickness (1850-2000).....	256
FIGURE 5.23. Correlation coefficients between the geomagnetic AA index and stratospheric optical aerosol thickness for each month.....	260
FIGURE 5.24. Modelled changes in zonal-mean (a) temperature and (b) wind, associated with geomagnetic activity, from <i>Arnold and Robinson (2001)</i>	265
FIGURE 5.25. An updated schema of possible solar-climate mechanisms linking geomagnetic activity to recent climate change.....	268
FIGURE A1. Differences in mean diurnal inequalities in Aberdeen surface pressure at 12h GMT for days of high and low geomagnetic activity.....	307
FIGURE B1. Interannual variations in the monthly AA (black line) and Antarctic Oscillation (blue line) indices.....	310
FIGURE B2. Interannual variations in the three-monthly averages of the AA (black line) and Antarctic Oscillation (blue line) indices.....	311
FIGURE B3. Cumulative sums between interannual variations in the monthly AA and Antarctic Oscillation indices.....	312
FIGURE B4. Cumulative sums between interannual variations in the three-monthly versions of the AA and Antarctic Oscillation indices.....	313
FIGURE B5. Cumulative sums between interannual variations in the monthly versions of the AA and Arctic Oscillation indices.....	314
FIGURE B6. Cumulative sums between interannual variations in the three-monthly versions of the AA and Arctic Oscillation indices.....	315
FIGURE B7. Interannual variations in the monthly AA (black line) and Arctic Oscillation (blue line) indices, January to June.....	316
FIGURE B8. Interannual variations in the monthly AA (black line) and Arctic Oscillation (blue line) indices, July to December.....	317

FIGURE B9. Interannual variations in the three-monthly averages of the AA (black line) and Arctic Oscillation (blue line) indices, JFM to JJA	318
FIGURE B10. Interannual variations in the three-monthly averages of the AA (black line) and Arctic Oscillation (blue line) indices, JAS to DJF	319
FIGURE C1. The leading principal component of Antarctic Oscillation index data extracted for intervals centred on days of increased geomagnetic activity.....	322
FIGURE C2. The leading principal component of Arctic Oscillation index data extracted for intervals centred on days of increased geomagnetic activity.....	322
FIGURE C3. FFT Co-spectrum of the daily AA and Arctic Oscillation indices, 1950-1998	323
FIGURE C4. FFT Co-spectrum of the daily AA and Antarctic Oscillation indices, 1979-1998	323
FIGURE D1. Differences between composites of zonal-mean zonal wind for high and low geomagnetic activity for each month, relative to the standard deviation	325
FIGURE D2. Correlation coefficients between the geomagnetic AA index and zonal-mean zonal wind for each month, lagged by two months.....	326
FIGURE D3. Correlation coefficients between the geomagnetic AA index and zonal-mean zonal wind for each month, lagged by three months.....	327
FIGURE D4. Differences between composites of zonal-mean temperature for high and low geomagnetic activity for each month, relative to the standard deviation	328
FIGURE E1. Correlation coefficients between 30 hPa temperature and the 10.7 cm solar flux, 1968-1996 (taken directly from <i>van Loon and Labitzke, 1999</i>)	330
FIGURE E2. Correlation coefficients between December-January 30 hPa temperature and the smoothed geomagnetic AA index, 1968-1996	330

“Realization of a potential impact of solar variability on our local environment has progressed a long way in the last few decades, from denial to partial acceptance, but a complete assessment of its reality and magnitude remains a distant goal.” (Reid, 1999; page 3)

Abstract

Solar-climate studies have a long and controversial history and the relevance of solar activity to recent climate change remains unclear. Despite prevalent scepticism and uncertainty, recent developments in both solar and atmospheric sciences warrant the reinvestigation of possible solar-climate relationships. The advent of public access to enormous databases of solar and atmospheric variables encourages the reinvestigation of possible solar-climate relationships, particularly those involving solar-modulated geomagnetic activity variations. If further studies demonstrate that climate change has been influenced by geomagnetic activity then research can progress to predicting, modelling, and quantifying the effect. Conversely, if a geomagnetic-activity influence on climate change can be comprehensively disproved researchers can focus on alternative solar or terrestrial climate explanations.

This thesis has evaluated the potential role of solar variability in recent climate change through the statistical examination of the impact of solar-modulated geomagnetic activity on atmospheric circulation. Geomagnetic activity was parameterised using the AA index, while atmospheric circulation was parameterised using the Antarctic, Arctic, and North Atlantic Oscillation indices. These atmospheric circulation indices correspond to the leading causes of variability in the extra-tropical troposphere. Changes in these indices result from large-scale climate changes that are centred on the mid-latitudes. *NCEP/NCAR* reanalysis sea level pressure data were also used to examine the spatial signature of geomagnetic activity effects at the earth's surface. The link between geomagnetic activity and atmospheric circulation was evaluated at daily, annual, and decadal timescales. The analyses were performed on monthly and three-monthly averages of the atmospheric indices to investigate seasonal patterns.

The results indicate that geomagnetic forcing of atmospheric circulation in the northern hemisphere is temporally and seasonally restricted. Moreover, it is controlled by a stratospheric phenomenon known as the quasi-biennial oscillation (QBO), and it is reliant on the interaction of stratospheric and tropospheric circulation. When the data are restricted to January values after 1965, for years in which the January QBO is eastwards, the correlation coefficient between geomagnetic activity and the Arctic Oscillation is strong ($r = 0.85$; $\alpha = 0.05$). In the southern hemisphere, statistically significant correlations are evident during March ($r = 0.39$) and are strongest after the early 1960s,

though the QBO plays no part in the relationship. For both hemispheres the relationships are evident at annual as well as decadal timescales and are therefore of practical significance.

Solar-modulated geomagnetic activity is therefore an important forcing mechanism for recent climate change. Specifically, many of the unexplained aspects of the recent changes in northern hemisphere climate, including the climate regime shift of the early 1960s, can be attributed to the effects of geomagnetic activity in the upper atmosphere. Interannual variations in the North Atlantic Oscillation should no longer be considered as ‘climatic noise’, while the strong positive trend and decadal variations evident since the 1960s can be attributed, in part, to solar forcing. The results also have implications for the relevance of atmosphere-ocean coupling to the Arctic and North Atlantic Oscillations and the importance of solar activity in southern hemisphere atmospheric circulation. Contrary to claims in the literature, the results of this study indicate that geomagnetic activity is not a viable proxy for solar irradiance variations or the solar-cycle length index. Long-term changes in land temperature are not well correlated to geomagnetic activity and the results of superposed epoch analysis indicate that there is no evidence of geomagnetic forcing of the atmosphere at daily timescales. This indicates that there must be a cumulative effect in the mechanism linking geomagnetic activity to atmospheric circulation that is not discernable in daily data.

Potential mechanisms, coupling geomagnetic activity variations to the lower atmosphere, were evaluated by examining the spatial signature of geomagnetic activity in *NCEP/NCAR* reanalysis zonal wind and temperature data and by comparing geomagnetic activity to solar irradiance, stratospheric aerosol, and total-column ozone data. The reanalysis data extend from the surface to the lower stratosphere in both hemispheres and are available for 17 geopotential heights ranging from 1000 hPa to 10 hPa and 2.5° intervals of latitude and longitude. Through a process of elimination, the results indicate that solar-modulated geomagnetic activity is relevant to tropospheric circulation through the coupling of the upper and middle atmospheres, and the subsequent propagation of stratospheric circulation anomalies to the troposphere through planetary wave activity.

Acknowledgements

My greatest acknowledgement is for my parents, Arnold and Teresa, for giving me the opportunity to undertake tertiary study and to my partner and fellow PhD student, Alexandra Golab, for her infinite support and encouragement.

I also thank my supervisor, Associate Professor Edward Bryant, for his inspiration and support. Ted's interest and enthusiasm, not just during my PhD research but also during my honours year, were a source of motivation and confidence during my studies. Thanks also go to Associate Professor Adam Burnett for his comments and suggestions regarding chapter three. I am also grateful to many of the staff from the School of Geosciences, especially John Reid and John Marthick.

I would also like to thank the numerous individuals and organisations that, by providing online climate and solar data, made this study possible. The appropriate organisations and individuals are acknowledged within the thesis. However, I specifically thank Graham Bartlett, of the UK Meteorological Office, for his efforts in providing me with the Aberdeen pressure data, Peter Thejll, from the Danish Meteorological Institute for solar-cycle length data, and Dirk Wallis for the Lerwick geomagnetic data. Thanks also to the various individuals worldwide that replied to my queries – Mark Baldwin, Patrick O'Sullivan, Rana Moyeed, Kalevi Mursala, Cor Schuurmans, Jay Lawrimore, Alfred Mertins, Joe Chicharo, Rod Nielsen, Davis Pozo Vazquez, and Tamar Atac.

My gratitude also goes to my fellow students and friends who made the whole PhD process enjoyable. In particular, Simon Clarke, Mark Dickson, and Kate Panayotou have been a source of entertainment and support. Finally, I must thank Lily for insisting that I get away from the computer occasionally...

