Formal Peer Review of 1st submission of Scientific Process Proposals (10% of your overall grade)

1) Title of the proposal you are reviewing
2) Summary Paragraph (< 100 words)
   a. Write a one-paragraph summary of the research proposal. This paragraph ensures that you understand the project.
3) Line By Line
   a. Use line numbers to identify specific strengths and weaknesses within the text.
      i. For example, “line 32 – there is a typo – change turkey to turkeys.”
      ii. For example, “line 52 – I love this example.”
4) Strengths (< 200 words)
   a. Summary outlining the strengths of the proposal
      i. Revisit the peer review guidelines to identify strengths of the proposal.
5) Weaknesses (< 200 words)
   a. Summary outlining the weakness of the proposal
      a. Revisit the peer review guidelines to identify weaknesses of the proposal.
6) Overall assessment (< 100 words)
   a. Does the proposal warrant funding?
   b. Please Score/Rank your proposals from 1 to 4 (4=Excellent—no revision needed, 3= Good –minor revisions needed, 2 = OK – Major revisions needed, 1 = NOT Good – research question vague and major revisions needed)
   c. Include a brief justification for your ranking that clarifies the strengths and weaknesses of the proposal

7) Please upload to ANGEL your THREE reviews as separate files on or before Tuesday October 19th midnight.

Piece of advice – Use the above headings in your formal peer review.